

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/033,897	01/03/2002	Michiei Nakamura	217934US0	9658	
22850 7	7590 07/14/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			CAIN, EDWARD J		
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		1714	•	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(			
	10/033,897	NAKAMURA ET	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Edward J. Cain	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to th	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-19 is/are pending in the app	olication.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7 and 9-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1,85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	s have been received					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			l Stage			
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
Attachman4/a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) [ ] Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/033,897

Art Unit: 1714

The request for reexamination received 2 December 2005 has been made of record. Claims 1-7 and 9-19 are pending.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lerman et al.

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record. Applicants' process limitations in these product claims are not seen as imparting patentability to the claims since applicants have not demonstrated patentably distinct properties flowing from the process limitations.

Claims 1-5, 7, 11 and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO '342.

WO '342 discloses colored fine particle resin compositions suitable for powder coating applications. These fine particles are taught as produced by a process comprising melting the colored resin followed by passing the molten resin through a nozzle and into a cooling medium. The "approximately spherical shape of the particles of the reference is seen as meeting the instant limitation to "droplet shaped" particles. (see page 4, line 6 to page 5, line 2, page 12, lines 8-22, page 13, lines 9-18 and page

Application/Control Number: 10/033,897

Art Unit: 1714

22, lines 7-22). The use of polyesters and epoxies such as claimed instantly are taught at page 6.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '342.

WO '342 is discussed above. This reference fails to explicitly recite applicants' claimed colorant ingredients. It is the position of the examiner that the recitation of suitable pigments on page 8 of the reference renders obvious those claimed instantly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to choose pigments and combinations of pigments in order to tailor the powder coats for a particular color.

Claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/033,897 Page 4

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714